- 1		
1	KAZEROUNI LAW GROUP, APC	
2	Jason Ibey, Esq. (SBN: 284607) jason@kazlg.com	
3	321 N Mall Drive, Suite R108 St. George, Utah 84790	
4	Telephone: (800) 400-6808	
5	Facsimile: (800) 520-5523	
6	BLACK OAK LAW FIRM	
7	Adib Assassi, Esq. (SBN 301036) adib@blackoaklaw.com	
	1100 W. Town and Country Rd., Ste 1250 Orange, CA 92868	
8	Telephone: (800) 500-0301	
9	Facsimile: (800) 500-0301	
10	[Additional Counsel for Plaintiff on Signature	Page]
11	Attorney for Plaintiff,	
12	Roy Lo	
13	MANUS REPORTED IN	THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
14	COUNTY OF LOS AND	GELES—UNLIMITED CIVIL
15	ROY LO, Individually and On Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated,	Case No.: 21STCV12852
16		SUPPLEMENTAL MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES IN
17	Plaintiff,	SUPPORT OF UNOPPOSED MOTION
18	v.	FOR PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT AND
19	NUTRIBULLET, LLC,	CERTIFICATION OF SETTLEMENT CLASS
20	Defendant.	Judge: Hon. William F. Highberger
21		Date: July 15, 2022
22		Time: 1:30 p.m. Dept.: 10
23		[Filed concurrently with Declaration of Jason
24		A. Ibey; Declaration of Jacob Kamenir; and [Proposed] Order]
25		Action Filed: April 2, 2021
26		1
27		
28		

Suppl. Memo. In Support of Unopposed Motion For Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement Case No.: 21STCV12852

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

24

25

26

1

2

3

5

I. THE COURT SHOULD GRANT PRELIMINARY APPROVAL TO THE PROPOSED SETTLEMENT AS REVISED BY THE RECENT ADDENDUM

Plaintiff Roy Lo ("Plaintiff" or "Mr. Lo") submits this supplemental memorandum in support of the motion for preliminary approval of a proposed class action settlement and certification of settlement class (the "Settlement") in this action (the "Action"), which proposed Settlement has been revised by the Addendum¹ to the Agreement.²

Through the Addendum, and following an initial preliminary approval hearing held on June 9, 2022,³ the Parties revised the Settlement to eliminate the voucher provision, thereby obviating the need for Settlement Class Members⁴ to submit a Claim Form. [Addendum, p. 1.] All other forms of relief to Settlement Class Members proposed in the Agreement ("Agr.") remain the same, which means that Settlement Class Members will automatically be entitled to an extended warranty as well as benefit from the changes made (or to be made) by Defendant to the warranty, its website and literature as a result of this lawsuit. *See* Agr. § IV.

Exhibits B, D, E and F⁵ to the Agreement have been revised to reflect the elimination of the voucher provision and a Claim Form requirement, while still affording Settlement Class Members

- 1

¹ The Addendum is attached as **Exhibit 1** to the accompanying Declaration of Jason A. Ibey ("Ibey Decl."), \P 5, filed herewith. It is signed by the Parties and their counsel, and was fully executed on July 11, 2022. *Id.* at \P 6.

² The Agreement was filed as Exhibit 1 the Declaration of Abbas Kazerounian, on April 15, 2022.

³ At that hearing, the Court indicated that it was inclined to preliminarily approve the proposed Settlement if, among other things, the voucher provision were to be eliminated, thus avoiding the need for a Claim Form. The Court set a deadline of July 11, 2022 for the filing of supplemental briefing and an addendum to the proposed settlement agreement, with a continued preliminary approval hearing scheduled for July 15, 2022 at 1:30 p.m.

⁴ Defined terms are meant to have the meaning ascribed in the Agreement and/or Addendum.

⁵ Exhibit B is replaced by Exhibit B-1; Exhibit D is replaced by Exhibit D-1; Exhibit E is replaced by Exhibit E-1; and Exhibit F is replaced by Exhibit F-1. These revised documents are exhibits to the Addendum. Exhibit C to the Agreement (i.e., the proposed internet banner ads) did not need to be revised. Ibey Decl., ¶ 6.

6

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

forty-five (45) days to opt out or object to the Settlement. Agr. § II(Y). The elimination of a Claim Form requirement simplifies the settlement process and reduces settlement administration expenses. *See generally, Howard v. Web*, No. CV-19-00513-PHX-DJH, 2020 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 256933, at *2 (D. Ariz. Sep. 18, 2020) (preliminarily approving class action settlement that, in part, eliminated a claim form requirement for individuals who were employed by the defendant).

Further, Exhibits B and D (now Exhibits B-1 and D-1) were also revised to reflect an updated notice and class administration quote from the proposed Settlement Administrator, Simpluris, which estimate is now \$63,175. Despite the elimination of a Claim Form requirement and thus any need to provide a voucher to valid claimants, the quote from Simpluris increased from \$62,185 to \$63,175 (a difference of \$990) due to higher costs for the media notice campaign. [Declaration of Jacob Kamenir ("Kamenir Decl."), ¶ 7, filed herewith.] The prior quote of \$62,185 was provided to counsel for the Parties by Simpluris last year, as of August 12, 2021. [See id].

Finally, through the Addendum, the Parties now include a proposed Opt-Out form (Exhibit G to Addendum) that could be used by Settlement Class Members who may wish to request exclusion from the proposed Settlement. That form will be made available on the Settlement Website, should the Court grant preliminary approval to the proposed Settlement. Ibey Decl, ¶ 7.

Thus, Plaintiff contends that the proposed Settlement, as modified by the Addendum, is fair, adequate and reasonable, and should be preliminarily approved. *See id.* at ¶¶ 8-9.

II. CONCLUSION

In sum, Plaintiff respectfully requests that the Court enter an order: (1) preliminarily approving the proposed Settlement as modified by the Addendum; (2) providing the class notice (i.e., Exhibit B-1, C and D-1); (3) appointing Plaintiff Roy Lo as Class Representative for settlement purposes; (4) appointing attorneys Abbas Kazerounian, Jason A. Ibey, and Adib Assassi as Class Counsel for

26 27

28

24

⁶ Like many things these days, the proposed media campaign was not immune to raising costs due in part to inflation. Simpluris is willing to provide the detailed quote to the Court for review (preferably *in camera* review) upon request by the Court. Kamenir Decl., ¶ 8.

²⁵

1	settlement purposes; and (5) scheduling a final approval hearing no earlier than 100 days after an ord		
2	preliminarily approving the settlement. A proposed preliminary approval order is submitted herewit		
3	(see also, Exhibit E-1 to the Addendum to the Agreement).		
4			
5	Dated: July 11, 2022 KAZEROUNI LAW GROUP, APC		
6	Con Mallon		
7	By: JASON A. IBEY/ESO.		
8	ATTORNEYS FOR PLANTIEF		
9	[Additional Counsel for Plaintiff]		
10	KAZEROUNI LAW GROUP, APC Abbas Kazerounian, Esq. (SBN 249203)		
11	ak@kazlg.com		
12	245 Fischer Avenue, Unit D1 Costa Mesa, CA 92626		
13	Telephone: (800) 400-6808 Facsimile: (800) 520-5523		
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
2/			

- 3
SUPPL. MEMO. IN SUPPORT OF UNOPPOSED MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT Case No.: 21STCV12852